

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/756,551	01/08/2001	Casey D. Morrow	UAI-004CPDV2CN 6750	
959	7590 03/20/2002			
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD			EXAMINER	
28 STATE ST BOSTON, M	TE STREET N, MA 02109		WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	. 0
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2002	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/756,551	MORROW ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph Woitach	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)☐ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· —		is action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
- 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-45 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
·						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
LS Patent and Trad	omark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/756,551

Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a continuation of 09/376,184, filed August 17, 1999, now abandoned, which is a continuation of 08/987,867, filed December 9, 1997, now patent number 6,063,384, which is a continuation of 08/389,459, filed February 15, 1995, now patent number 5,817,512, which is a continuation of 08/087,009, filed July 1, 1993, now abandoned.

Applicants amendment filed August 8, 2000 has been received and entered. The specification has been amended. Claims 1-15 are pending and currently under examination.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a method for encapsidating a poliovirus nucleic acid,
 classified in class 435, subclass 325, class 435, subclass 235.1, class 435, subclass
 5.
- II. Claims 23-26, drawn to an encapsidated poliovirus, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- III. Claims 27-34, drawn to an immunogenic composition comprising a recombinant poliovirus expressing an immunogenic protein, classified in class 435, subclass 325, class 435, subclass 69.7, class 435, subclass 71.1.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,551

Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

IV. Claims 35-45, drawn to a method of stimulating the immune system of a subject, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1. class 435, subclass 71.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the poliovirus can be made by different methods such as *in vitro* assembly of a virion. Further, the method can be used to encapsidate other polynucleotides. The differences between the inventions are further underscored by their divergent classification and independent search status.

Inventions II-III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of stimulating the immune system of a subject can be accomplished by administration of other antigens or other vectors expressing antigens. Further, the products can be used to infect cells *in vitro* for the production of an antigen in culture.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,551 Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the recombinant vectors of group II do not express immunogenic antigens and the recombinant virus of group III are specifically made to express antigens. In each case the polynucleotide sequences comprised in the vectors are unique. The recombinant vectors of group II do not express an antigen so they would not be useful in stimulating an immune response in a patient or to express a foreign antigen in culture.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,551 Page 5

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (703)305-3732.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at (703)305-4051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist Patsy Zimmerman whose telephone number is (703)305-3883.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center numbers are (703)308-4242 and (703)305-3014.

Joseph T. Woitach

Joe Wortack AU1632